

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 4278		
10/643,542	08/19/2003	Linda J. Flammer	IFF-25-1			
48080	7590 12/15/2005	EXAMINER				
	TONAL FLAVORS & I	LAMM, N	LAMM, MARINA			
521 WEST 5' NEW YORK	, NY 10019	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	•		1616	1616		

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		7	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/643,542		FLAMMER ET AL.				
		Ī	Examiner		Art Unit				
			Marina Lamm		1616				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appea	ars on the cover	sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on							
2a)□									
3)[	Since this application is in condition for	r allowanc	e except for fon	mal matters, pro:	secution as to the	e merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	on Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
	3. Copies of the certified copies of				d in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment	• •		🗖		DTO 445				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:									

Application/Control Number: 10/643,542 Page 2

Art Unit: 1616

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-16, 20 drawn to an anti-dandruff composition, classified in class 424, subclass 70.8.
- II. Claims 17-19 and 21-23, drawn to a composition for reducing an itch sensation on the outer surface of the mammalian epidermis, classified in class 424, subclass 401.
- III. Claims 24-26 are, drawn to a method for reducing pruritis (itch) of the mammalian scalp, classified in class 514, subclass 613.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the composition of Group I does not require the presence of the anti-itch agent of Group II. The subcombination has separate utility such as an anti-itch skin cream. Alternatively, the composition of Group

Application/Control Number: 10/643,542 Page 3

Art Unit: 1616

II does not require the presence of the anti-dandruff agent of Group I. The compositions of Group I has separate utility such as an anti-dandruff shampoo.

- 3. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product, such as a hydrocortisone cream.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group III is not required for Group I or II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. **Election of species** should be required prior to a search on the merits in all applications containing both species claims and generic or Markush claims. (MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/643,542

Art Unit: 1616

808.01(a)). (Please note that the election of species requirement is applicable to Group I and Group II only).

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention –

- a. cooling sensate materials of Claim 3, and
- cooling sensate enhancer materials of Claims 2, 4, 5 and 18

The species listed in those claims are distinct because their structures and physicochemical properties differ. Accordingly, if **Group I** is elected, the Applicant is required to elect one or more elements (i.e. specific compounds) from (a) – (b) to form a composition, even though this requirement is traversed. If **Group II** is elected, the Applicant is required to elect one or more elements from (b). Applicant should include a chemical structure of the elected compound(s) if not already contained in the specification. Alternatively, the Applicant is invited to acknowledge these agents are obvious in view of one another. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

To be complete, a response to the election of species requirement should include a proper election along with a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. MPEP 809.02(a).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marina Lamm whose telephone number is (571) 272-0618. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 11am to 7pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's acting supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan, can be reached at (571) 272-0629.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).